

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/12/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/753,116	01/02/2001	Byeong-Ro Jeong	678-583 (P9652)	1919
7590 08/12/2002 Paul J. Farrell, Esq.			EXAMINER	
DILWORTH & BARRESE 333 Earle Ovington Boulevard Uniondale, NY 11553		PIZIALI, JEFFREY J		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2673	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/753,116	JEONG, BYEONG-RO
		Examiner	Art Unit
	The MAU INC DATE of this accommiss the	Jeff Piziali	2673
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ippears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt - Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION msions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a roperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory periure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the may end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of th od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO lute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	2 January 2001 .	
2a)□	<u></u>	This action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und	wance except for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to the merits is
Disposit	ion of Claims	and plants during the second	,
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application	n.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.	
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.	
	ion Papers		
·	The specification is objected to by the Exami		
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 January 2001</u> is/a	•	•
44)	Applicant may not request that any objection to		* *
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.
12\□	If approved, corrected drawings are required in The oath or declaration is objected to by the		
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	-xammer.	
		ian mindhuunda 05 H O O	0.440(-) (4) (0
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore \square All b) \square Some * c) \square None of:	ign prionty under 35 U.S.C.	3 119(a)-(a) or (1).
a)I	☐ All b)☐ Some c)⊠ None or: 1.☑ Certified copies of the priority docume	into have been received	
			Application No.
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority		
* 5	application from the International I See the attached detailed Office action for a li	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	stic priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
) The translation of the foreign language packnowledgment is made of a claim for dome		
Attachmen		, ,	
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) 🔲 Notice of	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and T	rademark Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 2

Application/Control Number: 09/753,116 Page 2

Art Unit: 2673

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in the Republic of Korea on December 31, 1999. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the 1999-68266 application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Drawings

2. Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationship is: between the "both ends of the bottom of the reflecting plate" (in dependent claim 2) and the "one end of the reflecting plate" (in independent claim 1). It is unclear whether the lamps share a single end of the reflecting plate, or are positioned on different

Application/Control Number: 09/753,116

Art Unit: 2673

ends. Furthermore, it is unclear what claim 2 is referring to with the term, "the bottom of the reflecting plate" -- in so far as there exists no antecedent basis for such claim terminology.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 6. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yoshihara et al. (6,115,016).

Regarding claim 1, Yoshihara discloses a back light device in a liquid crystal display module, the device comprising: a liquid crystal display [Fig. 1, 40]; a laminated reflecting plate [Fig. 1, 6] positioned on a bottom of the liquid crystal display; at least two lamps [Figs. 1 & 2, 7] of different color [Fig. 2; red, green, and blue] installed on one end of the reflecting plate and positioned a distance apart from each other; and a controller [Fig. 1, 9] for controlling light emission of the lamps, the light emitted by the lamps being diffused through the reflecting plate, wherein the colors emitted by the lamps are combined, and diffused light is irradiated according to an illumination control signal applied by the controller, and the illumination control signal causes the irradiated light to match a color according to a user's demand (see Fig. 3; Column 2, Line 27 - Column 3, Line 52).

Application/Control Number: 09/753,116

Art Unit: 2673

Page 4

Regarding claim 2, Yoshihara discloses the lamps comprise light emitting diodes [Figs. 1 & 2, 7] installed on both ends of the bottom of the reflecting plate (see Column 2, Lines 43-59).

Regarding claim 3, Yoshihara discloses a light emitting diode for emitting light of red, yellow, or blue color (see Figs. 2 & 3; Column 2, Line 43 - Column 3, Line 52).

Regarding claim 4, Yoshihara discloses each light emitting diode selectively emits the light color in response to the illumination control signal supplied by the controller (see Fig. 3; Column 2, Line 27 - Column 3, Line 52).

Regarding claim 5, this claim is rejected by the reasoning applied in the above rejection of claim 1.

Regarding claim 6, this claim is rejected by the reasoning applied in the above rejection of claim 2.

Regarding claim 7, this claim is rejected by the reasoning applied in the above rejection of claim 3.

Application/Control Number: 09/753,116

Art Unit: 2673

Conclusion

Page 5

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Suntola (US 4,907,862), Irwin (US 4,978,952), Gibbons et al. (US 5,122,791), Hunter (US 5,724,062), Kaneko (US 6,188,379), and Evanicky et al. (US 6,243,068) are cited to further evidence the state of the art pertaining to back light devices.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeff Piziali whose telephone number is (703) 305-8382. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (6:30AM - 3PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala can be reached on (703) 305-4938. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

August 7, 2002

BIPIN SHALWALA
SUPTION DORY PATENT EXAMINER
FINANCIA CONTROL OF CO